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MESSAGE FROM COMMSOFT TC CHAIR

The Technical Committee on Communications Software (TC-COMMSOFT) examines methods and techniques devoted to advancement of the formal methods and tools, use of system analysis and design, methodology for development of communications protocols as well as application of general Software Engineering approaches for the purpose of development of communications applications. The issues addressed by the TC-COMMSOFT include domain-specific languages and practices of using them. Developing of "middleware" between networks and applications and the usefulness and usability of it is also a topic. In this volume, we have selected 3 papers on three various subjects: wireless sensor networks for smart cities, routing protocols for mobile AdHoc networks and cloud computing.
Sybil Attack Detection Survey in VANETs
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Abstract: Vehicular ad hoc networks (VANETs) are expected to play an important role in our lives. They will improve the traffic safety and bring about a revolution on the driving experience. However, these benefits are counterbalanced by possible attacks that threaten not only the vehicle's security, but also passengers' lives. One of the most common ones is the Sybil attack, which is even more dangerous than others because it could be the starting point of many other attacks in VANETs.

This paper proposes a survey of Sybil attacks’ detection. The key idea here is that each vehicle will monitor its neighborhood in order to detect an eventual Sybil attack.
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1. Introduction

The new mobility challenges of vehicles in Smart Cities need the enhancement of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) that helps to reduce congestions, accidents, fuel consumption, etc. Thus, Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks (VANETs), which are a major component of ITS, has been a subject of some intensive research and experimental applications in these last two decades. In such networks, vehicles on the road will communicate with each others to exchange information about their directions, their speeds, their positions, the state of road, etc. Currently, the automotive industry is working to equip new vehicles with Wireless Access Vehicular Environment (WAVE) devices [1]. WAVE protocols are based on the IEEE 802.11p standard and provide the basic radio standard for dedicated short-range communication (DSRC). Intelligent Transportation Systems.

Since a successful attack could have dramatic consequences, security of Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks becomes an important issue. A well-known attack is 15 the Sybil one. This attack is considered as one of the most dangerous and the basis of many other attacks [2]. In Sybil attack, malicious node may assume multiple identities. The least harmful objective of such attack is to create an illusion of traffic congestion in order to reroute other vehicles from the road that the attacker will take. At the other end, the attacker could push a specific vehicle to take a particular route in order to trap it or, even, guide it straight to a crash in an accident. Therefore, detecting such attack is very sensitive for several safety, privacy and security reasons.

The paper is organized as follows: the section 2 presents a categorization of the Sybil attack detection mechanisms. The section 3 shows some challenges in the design of such mechanisms. Finally, section 4 concludes the paper and gives some perspectives for our future works.

2. Overview of Sybil Attacks detection

a. Resource testing

Many mechanisms that aim to detect Sybil attacks have been proposed. Among them, we can cite those based on resource testing [3] (i.e. computing ability, storage ability, communication bandwidth, etc.). The idea here is that each vehicle broadcasts to all its neighbors a request that needs some physical resources to be computed. Thus, since attackers have to reply simultaneously for them and for the created fake nodes, they will not be able to reply in the given interval time and only honest vehicles will be trusted. However, this approach wastes a lot of computing resources and bandwidth for these tests. Moreover, attackers equipped with powerful computing devices can bypass these tests.

b. Public Key Infrastructure

Another common used solutions for defending against Sybil attacks are based on Public Key Infrastructure (PKI). Since the vehicle can be authenticated with its unique public key and certificate managed by the Root Authority (RA), an attacker can be detected at any time. Traditional PKI-based certificates include only key information and do not include any unique physical information related to the vehicle. This makes such approach potentially vulnerable to impersonation attack because any stolen valid key pair and certificate can be used by another malicious vehicle to create fake nodes with valid certificates. In multi-factor authentication scheme [4], the certificate contains not only the public key information but also a set of physical attribute values about the vehicle (i.e. the radio frequency fingerprint, etc.) recorded by the Certificate Authority (CA). Nevertheless, establishing such Public Key Infrastructure for individual vehicles [5, 6] takes a long time. The use of a long-term key pairs and certificates can also make the tracking and the collecting of vehicles behaviors easier. PKI-based approaches are complex and expensive to be implemented in terms of equipments that have to be deployed. For example, we have to deploy a Root Authority (RA), a Long-Term Certificate Authority (LTCA) and a Pseudonym Certificate Authority (PCA), knowing that the PCA has to be reached by the vehicles in order to download new Pseudonym Certificates (PCs). Therefore, vehicles have to
access to the PCA through the Road Side Units (RSUs). The deployment of these RSUs is estimated to end by 2026 with a cost of 660 M€[7]. Another alternative is to take advantage from the existing cellular networks to download certificates. However, drivers have to pay this access. Moreover, vehicles will overload the cellular network if they use this media since it was not initially sized to manage this task. Moreover, even if a vehicle with a valid Long-Term Certificate (LTC) is corrupted, but not yet identified as it is, it can continue to download PCs as needed. Therefore, the PKI protection stills available for new vehicles but not really for already involved corrupted vehicles. Since all the nodes are perceived as honest by each others, this makes the detection of Sybil attacks very difficult and subsequently more difficult the defense against them [9].

**c. Other approaches**

Zhou et al. proposed a privacy preserving method to detect Sybil attacks using trustable roadside units and pseudonyms [9]. A pool of pseudonyms is assigned to each vehicle from the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV). The latter are used to generate traffic messages instead of the real identities in order to ensure the privacy. This technique avoids also that vehicles abuse on the usage of those pseudonyms to conduct Sybil attacks since the pseudonym belonging to a vehicle is hashed to a unique value. Even though the suggested scheme which guarantees the vehicles privacy can fight against Sybil attacks, it is still need the registration of vehicles by trusted authorities such as in PKI-based approaches.

Guette and Bryce suggested a secure hardware-based method built on the trusted Platform Module (TPM) [10]. Secure information and related protocols are stored in shielded locations within the module, where any data access or changing is impossible since the platform credentials are trusted by car manufacturers. Therefore, the communication between vehicles are protected from Sybil attacks. However, as the TPM is an improved variation of a certificate, it still needing trusted authorities that can take the responsibility of managing individual vehicles. Moreover, each vehicle has to be equipped with an additional hardware (i.e. TPM), which increases the cost of such approach.

Another well-known approach to detect Sybil attacks, without a major modification of the system, is to benefit from the Received Signal Strength (RSS) [11, 12, 13] to detect if multiple messages with different identities are sent out by the same physical device. Guette et al. [10] analyzed the effectiveness of the Sybil attack in various assumptions of transmission signal tuning and antenna, and then showed the limitation of RSS based Sybil detection in VANETs.

**3. Challenges**

To tackle some limitations of the overviewed approaches, it is needed to design new Sybil attack detection mechanisms. The challenges that have to be overtaken are numerous. They will be presented in this section.

a. **Secured**

The mechanisms have to protect well the vehicles. It has not to threaten their security. The objective is to detect attackers while ensuring their safety.

b. **Anonymous**

Detection mechanisms should keep secret the identity of the drivers in order to preserve they identities. Their objectives are principally the detection of attacks and the identification of the attackers and the fake nodes. However, they have to preserve the identities of honest nodes.

c. **Distributed**

The mechanisms used for the detection have to be distributed. In fact, a centralized solution like the PKI presents a bottleneck that have to be avoided. Moreover, it is not an efficient one since vehicles have to be connected continuously to some specific servers to download certificates for example.

d. **Easy to be implemented**

These mechanisms have to be also easy to be implemented. This will make such solutions rapid and energy efficient also.

**4. Conclusion**

In this paper, we proposed a survey of the mechanisms used for the detection of the Sybil attacks in vehicular networks. There are principally three types of Sybil attacks detection algorithms. The first one is the resource testing. Every vehicle has to do some works in order to detect the fake nodes. The second category is the approaches that use the Public Key Infrastructure, where vehicles have their own certificates. Attackers cannot use their certificates for fake nodes. Many other approaches were also proposed. We decided to gather them in one category.

For our future work, we intend to study the flow traffic model in the Sybil attack detection. Knowing how the vehicles have to move could help us to detect if there is an orchestrated attack or not.
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